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MARS CODE

MARS code system is a set of Monte Carlo programs for detailed simulation of hadronic end electromagnetic cascades in an arbitrary geometry of accelerator, detector and spacecraft components with particle energy ranging from a fraction of an electron volt up to 100 TeV. The original version of the MARS code was created about 30 years ago and is developed since then in IHEP (Protvino), SSCL and FNAL. The current MARS15 version combines the well established theoretical models for strong, weak and electromagnetic interactions of hadrons and leptons with a system which can contain up to $10^5$ objects, ranging in dimensions from microns to hundreds of kilometers, made up to 100 composite materials, with arbitrary 3-D magnetic and electric fields.

The code is used in numerous applications worldwide (US, Japan, Europe, Russia) at existing accelerator facilities, in planned experiments, accelerator projects and in cosmic ray physics. Its reliability has been demonstrated in many benchmarks studies.
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Absorbed dose in mercury vapor analyzer:

- position 1 - 630 Grey = 63 krad
- position 2 - 14 Grey = 1.4 krad
- acceptable level for electronic devices 5-10 krad
- material composition should be specified. Standard MARS mixture ELEC=N-Si-Pb was used in this calculation.
Residual dose rate in a tissue-equivalent body on contact after 5 day of irradiation and 1 hour of cooling:

- mercury vapor analyzer (position 1) - 0.17 mSv/hr
- mercury vapor analyzer (position 2) - 0.007 mSv/hr
- hydraulic fluid (Quintolubric 880) in pump - 0.021 mSv/hr
- mercury vapor filter - 0.18 mSv/hr

- acceptable level are about 1 mSv/hr at FNAL, 0.1(?) mSv/hr at CERN

- material composition of filter should be specified. Pure carbon was used in this calculation. Sulfur/iodine component should be included.
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**PARTICLE PRODUCTION**

**Table**: Average number of secondary particle per proton on target.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z, cm</th>
<th>Size, cm²</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>$\pi^\pm/k^\pm$</th>
<th>$\mu$</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>$e^\pm$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>465</td>
<td>300 · 300</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>465</td>
<td>40 · 40</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285</td>
<td>300 · 300</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285</td>
<td>40 · 40</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fluxes of charged particle per pulse. $3 \cdot 10^{13}$ proton in pulse.
CONCLUSIONS

- absorbed dose and activation of mercury vapor analyzer mounted onto downbeam end of secondary containment do not exceed acceptable levels
- activation of hydraulic fluid in the pump is low enough, activation in the hydraulic lines should be lower than in the pump
- activation of mercury vapor filter is low, but material composition of filter should be specified
- geometry description should be enhanced to calculate radiation levels near DVB (full description of TT2 tunnel already obtained from Adrian Fabich)
- energy and spatial beam distribution should be included (comprehensive description already obtained from Adrian Fabich and Harold Kirk)